Magnesium Salt Influenced Strength Behavior of Lime-Fly Ash Stabilized Fine Grained Soil
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The fine grained soil subgrade in coastal areas has the characteristics of high underground water level and serious salinization, and the lime-fly ash stabilized soil (LFSS) often used as the road base material. In order to study the effect of magnesium salt on the material strength, 5 % MgCl₂ solution was used to simulate the groundwater magnesium salt environment, and specimens with 7 d, 14 d, 28 d, 60 d and 90 d curing ages were tested in MgCl₂ solution for 1 d, 5 d, 10 d, 15 d or 30 d. A controlled test was also conducted in purified water. Strength mechanism of LFSS was analyzed; SEM test was taken; and the influence mechanism of MgCl₂ medium was analyzed. The results showed that MgCl₂ medium, immersing time, and curing age have effects on compressive strength of LFSS. More specifically, although the early strength is low, the strength of LFSS maintains slow and steady growth from 7 d to 90 d. Long-term immersing in both MgCl₂ solution and purified water will reduce the strength of LFSS, while the strength of specimen immersed in MgCl₂ solution will decrease faster. Reactions between MgCl₂ and LFSS destroyed the original gels and crystals, causing a negative impact on the strength of LFSS. However, with the test dose of MgCl₂, the reduced strength of LFSS can still satisfy the sub-base requirement of second-class highway in China.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The pavement structure consists of surface layer, base layer and functional layer. Local materials are often used in the highway base course. Lime-fly stabilized ash soil (LFSS) is composed of lime, fly ash, and soil, forms a kind of load-bearing material for road structure by mixing, spreading, paving, rolling with special equipment. It is generally believed that LFSS has high strength, good integrality, and convenient construction, low cost. North of the Shandong province are vast plains, near the Bohai Gulf, with low altitude, lacking stone, LFSS often used as the road sub-base material. Local alluvial plain forms by sediment deposition of the Yellow River in Bohai depression. Its shallow groundwater is phreatic water of seawater type with highly mineralized brine, which contains Mg²⁺, Cl⁻ [1].

Yanggu road connects Yangxin county and G205 national highway, which is a second-class highway, and LFSS is also adopted as the sub-base material. In curing period, local LFSS was immersed in water over ten days because of the heavy rain. It was found that the local LFSS strength is obviously low, even couldn't get a complete drilling core sample (shown in Fig. 1), and couldn't meet the base acceptance standard. It is worthwhile to study how the strength of LFSS is changed while water or erosive groundwater are affected.

The intensity characteristics of cement soil, lime soil are studied in water environment or erosive environment in recent years. Mechanical properties of cement soil are affected by several factors, such as cement content, curing time, moisture content, compaction characteristics, aggregate gradation, quality of aggregates and additives [2–4]. Over-rich cement layers are instead too stiff and prone to shrinkage cracking, causing accelerated pavement failure [5, 6]. Nano-CaCO₃ addition can improve the compressive strength of cement-stabilized soil and reduce the corrosion speed and increase the compaction degree of cement-stabilized soil in marine environment [7].

Chlorine salt has various effects on lime-treated soil according to literatures. MgCl₂ solution does not damage cement soil, which has long been used to de-ice roads in regions that experience harsh winters [8, 9], and MgCl₂ has the capacity to retain the absorbed moisture for an extended period of time [10]. MgCl₂ improves the compressive strength of the bentonite and kaolin significantly in that pores of the soils have been filled by newly formed crystalline compounds known as magnesium silicate hydrate (M-S-H) and magnesium aluminate hydrate (M-A-H) respectively [11]. Chlorine salt has obvious effects on the structure of the lime-treated soil [12]. The chlorine salt induces engineering problems in the lime-treated soil, which affect its stability, especially when the salt content is more than 3.0 % [13]. Salt ions can enter into charged porous media and then react with the soil particles [14]. Chlorine ions also have a higher hydration radius and could absorb water [13, 15], and have a negative effect on the strength of treated soil in the short and long term [16].

As to LFSS, researches are mainly focused on LFSS mix proportion, dynamic property, compaction parameter, etc [17–19]. There are lack of research on the effects of underground water, especially the magnesium salt erosion medium.
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Lime and fly-ash are inorganic binders for fine soil, and cement can improve the early strength.

The maximum dry density was 1.785 g/cm³, and the optimum moisture content was 21.8%. Cylindrical specimens, 6 for each group, with a total of 228 were molded into 50 mm high and 50 mm diameter through static pressure molding method [20].

In order to reduce the production method, production equipment, raw materials, curing condition and other factors on the experimental results, all specimens were made in one time.

3.2. Maintenance method

Adopt standard maintenance condition (temperature 20 ± 2 °C, relative humidity 95 %), maintain different days, and immerse different time in purified water and MgCl₂ solution.

Two groups of specimens with different curing ages were cured in standard condition (temperature 20 ± 2 °C, humidity above 95 %) for 2 d – 89 d, and then immersed in purified water or MgCl₂ solution for 1 d to 30 d respectively.

Four groups of 24 specimens of 7 d curing age were cured in standard condition for 6 d and 2 d, and then immersed in purified water or MgCl₂ solution or for 1 d and 5 d respectively; six groups of 36 specimens of 14 d curing age were cured in standard condition for 13 d, 9 d and 4 d, and then immersed in purified water or MgCl₂ solution or for 1 d, 5 d and 10 d respectively; eight groups of 48 specimens of 28 d curing age were cured in standard condition for 27 d, 23 d, 18 d, and 13 d, and then immersed in purified water or MgCl₂ solution or for 1 d, 5 d, 10 d, and 15 d respectively; ten groups of 60 specimens of 60 d curing age were cured in standard condition for 59 d, 55 d, 50 d, 45 d, and 30 d, and then immersed in purified water or MgCl₂ solution or for 1 d, 5 d, 10 d, 15 d, and 30 d respectively.

3.3. Compression strength

Take LFSS specimens out of MgCl₂ solution and purified water at the scheduled time, dry the specimen surface, and test the unconfined compressive strengths. Eliminate the outliers using triple mean square error method, and take the unconfined compressive strength of 95% assurance rate as the compressive strength of a group of specimens. The compressive strengths of different curing, immersing ages and different immersion medium are shown in Table 3.

4. DATA ANALYSIS

4.1. Strength growth pattern of LFSS

Specimens are cured in standard condition, then immersed in purified water at the last day, and test the unconfined compressive strengths. The growth rule of the strength of LFSS specimens cured in standard condition is shown in Fig. 2.
Table 1. Chemical compositions and physical indexes of the fly ash

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical compositions, %</th>
<th>Loss on ignition, %</th>
<th>Moisture content, %</th>
<th>45 μm screen residue, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.52</td>
<td>34.26</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Main indicators of cement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical compositions, %</th>
<th>Specific surface area, m²/kg</th>
<th>14 d linear expansivity, %</th>
<th>Setting time, min</th>
<th>Flexural strength, MPa</th>
<th>Compressive strength, MPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C₃S</td>
<td>C₃A</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>Alkali</td>
<td>44.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Compressive strengths of LFSS specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curing time, d</th>
<th>Immerse medium</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5 % MgCl₂</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5 % MgCl₂</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>5 % MgCl₂</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>5 % MgCl₂</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>5 % MgCl₂</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Growth curve in standard curing condition

As shown in Fig. 2, the early strength of LFSS (0 – 7 d) is very low in the standard curing condition, but grows fast. The strength continues to maintain slow and steady growth from 7 d to 90 d.

4.2. Growth pattern of strength effected by MgCl₂

The change of the specimen compressive strengths immersed in MgCl₂ solution for different curing and immersing ages is shown in Fig. 3, and that immersed in purified water is shown in Fig. 4.

As shown in Fig. 3, the compressive strength of the specimens after immersing in the MgCl₂ solution is reduced to a certain extent, and the compressive strength of the specimens is roughly linear. The longer the immersing time is, the greater the reduction of compressive strength is. The compressive strength of the specimens in the 90d phase after immersing 5 d, 10 d, 15 d and 30 d in MgCl₂ solution decrease by 8.8 %, 20.6 %, 20.6 % and 29.4 % respectively. The first 5 d decreases significantly, and the overall decline is slow. See Table 4 for the maximum strength of the specimens in two immersion environments.
As shown in Fig. 4, with the increase of immersing days, compressive strength of the control group specimens immersed in water fell roughly the same as immersed in MgCl₂ solution. With the increase of immersion time, LFSS compressive strength is reduced. The compressive strength of 90 d specimens after immersing 5 d, 10 d, 15 d and 30 d in purified water decreased by 9.1 %, 12.1 %, 15.2 % and 18.2 % respectively. However, in the intensity reduction range, specimens immersed in MgCl₂ solution declined even more. As can be seen from Table 4, immersion in MgCl₂ solution decreased by 6.2 – 13.2 % compared with immersion in water.

The 7 d strength is greater than 0.7 MPa, although the strength decreases after immersing, still meet the sub-base requirement of second-class highway in China [21]. It is also indicated that MgCl₂ is not the main cause of sub-base quality problem at Yanggu road. Magnesium salt has negative effect on LFSS strength, but the effect degree is limited, not fatal. It means that further study should be taken to justify the influence of other harmful ions.

### Table 4. Compressive strengths of LFSS specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immersed medium</th>
<th>Strength decline rate, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 % MgCl₂</td>
<td>18.2 12.5 22.7 25.0 29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>8.3 6.3 9.5 13.8 18.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 5. MECHANISM ANALYSIS

### 5.1. Strength formation mechanism

Physical and chemical reactions occur in LFSS when water is added. Ion exchange reaction make soil particles closer, improve the soil compactness. Crystallization and carbonation reactions, shown as Eq. 1, Eq. 2, produce calcium hydroxide and calcium carbonate crystals. Pozzolanic reaction, shown as Eq. 3, Eq. 4, produces hydrated calcium silicate and hydrated calcium aluminate gelatinous substances, that is the main cause of soil strength and water stability. New crystals and gelatinous substances fill the soil particle voids and produce binder among them, improves the soil strength, and reduce the porosity and water permeability of LFSS [17, 22].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ca(OH)₂} + n\text{H₂O} & \rightarrow \text{Ca(OH)₃} \cdot n\text{H₂O}; \\
\text{Ca(OH)₂} + \text{CO₂} & \rightarrow \text{CaCO₃} + \text{H₂O}; \\
x\text{Ca(OH)₂} + \text{SiO₂} + n\text{H₂O} & \rightarrow x\text{CaO-SiO₂(n + 1)H₂O}; \\
x\text{Ca(OH)₂} + \text{Al₂O₃} + n\text{H₂O} & \rightarrow x\text{CaO-Al₂O₃(n + 1)H₂O}. 
\end{align*}
\]

### 5.2. The effect of water on the strength of the LFSS

Lime solution diluted in the water curing condition, and calcium ion concentration reduced when calcium ion dissociating from lime solution. That slow down the ion exchange velocity, abate the hardening effect and carbonation reaction, and then effect the strength of LFSS. Ca(OH)₂ and CaCO₃ crystals will dissolve in water at a certain degree, undissolved CaO will swell, excessive moisture also reduces friction between soil particles, these factors will lead to the intensity change of LFSS.

### 5.3. The effect of MgCl₂ on the strength of LFSS

The chemical reactions between MgCl₂ and LFSS are shown as Eq. 5 – Eq. 9.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{MgCl₂} + \text{Ca(OH)₂} & \rightarrow \text{CaCl₂} + \text{Mg(OH)₂}; \\
3\text{CaO-2SiO₂-3H₂O(C-S-H)} + 3\text{MgCl₂} + 9\text{H₂O} & \rightarrow 3\text{CaCl₂-6H₂O} + 3\text{Mg(OH)₂} + 2\text{SiO₂}; \\
\text{Mg(OH)₂} + \text{SiO₂} & \rightarrow \text{MgO-SiO₂-H₂O (M-S-H)}; \\
3\text{CaO-Al₂O₃-6H₂O(C-A-H)} + 3\text{MgCl₂} + 9\text{H₂O} & \rightarrow 3\text{CaCl₂-6H₂O} + 3\text{Mg(OH)₂} + \text{Al₂O₃}; \\
\text{Mg(OH)₂} + \text{Al₂O₃} & \rightarrow \text{MgO-Al₂O₃-H₂O (M-A-H)}. 
\end{align*}
\]

After the chemical reaction between magnesium chloride and calcium hydroxide crystal, shown as Eq. 5, the newly generated Mg(OH)₂ is looser than the original Ca(OH)₂, and the coagulation force is poor, which result in strength decrease of LFSS. The chemical reaction between magnesium chloride and C-S-H gel in LFSS, shown as Eq. 6, generates calcium chloride crystal (CaCl₂-6H₂O), brucite (Mg(OH)₂) and free silica (SiO₂). At the same time, the reaction of hydrohmagnesite and free quartz is further developed, shown as Eq. 7, generates M-S-H which difficult to dissolve in water. The chemical reaction between magnesium chloride and C-A-H gel, shown as Eq. 8 and Eq. 9, generates M-A-H. Newly generated M-S-H and M-A-H have lower bonding strength than C-S-H, and disperse in LFSS. Those results in poor cementation and reduce the structural strength of LFSS [8, 22].

After immersing in MgCl₂ solution, lots of white mineral crystals are produced, which are mainly Mg(OH)₂, as shown in Fig. 5. Newly generated minerals will also gradually absorb water and expand, resulting in internal stress.

![Fig. 5. Photo of specimen immersed in MgCl₂ solution for 15 d](image-url)
chloride ions on strength is relatively small [23].

As the SEM photo presented in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7, less amount of needle and plate saline mineral crystals are produced in LFSS specimens immersed in MgCl₂ solution for 7 and 14 days, and the structure is looser than that of specimens immersed in water.

![Fig. 6. SEM photo of 7 d specimens: a – immersed in water; b – MgCl₂ solution](image)

![Fig. 7. SEM photo of 14 d specimens: a – immersed in water; b – MgCl₂ solution](image)

**6. CONCLUSIONS**

1. The early compressive strength of LFSS is low in the standard curing conditions, but grows fast. The strength continues to maintain slow and steady growth from 7 d to 90 d.
2. The compressive strength of LFSS specimens will decrease with immersing time both in MgCl₂ solution and purified water. The longer the immersing time, the more the compressive strength decreases.
3. The strength of LFSS immersed in MgCl₂ solution will reduce more significantly than that in purified water when the curing age and immersing time are same.
4. The influenced strength of LFSS can still satisfy the sub-base requirement of second-class highway in China under limited immersing time both in MgCl₂ solution and purified water.
5. The negative effects of MgCl₂ are mainly caused by the change of gel and crystal types of cementation in LFSS.
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