Elastic Responses of a Composite Shell Structure Subjected to Impact Loading
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This work investigated the elastic responses of a composite laminate shell subjected to a transverse low-velocity impact. The governing equation based on the equations of motion of both the impactor and target was developed to determine the impact force. The displacement of the shell subjected to unit impulse loading was solved using the finite element method. A non-linear differential equation in terms of the indentation depth was derived by incorporating the Hertzian contact law and theory of convolution. Runge-Kutta method was employed to solve the non-linear integro-differential equation, leading to the determination of the impact force at the point of contact between the impactor and the composite shell. The elastic responses including the displacement and stress of the composite laminate shell were evaluated using the finite element method by exerting the impact force on the apex of the composite shell. Present approach was verified with the analytical, experimental and numerical results reported in the existing literatures. The influences of stacking sequence of the composite laminate shell on the impact responses were examined through a series of parametric studies. In addition, impact responses of the spherical shells with different materials such as steel, aluminum and glass were studied.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Composite laminates are widely used in lightweight construction especially in aerospace, vehicle, ship and sport industries because of their high specific strength and specific stiffness ratios. One major disadvantage for composite laminates is that they are vulnerable to impacts caused by dropped tools, hailstones, runway debris, etc. [1]. While the induced damage is interior in the composite laminate especially for low velocity impact, the invisible damage may significantly decrease the integrity and reliability of the composite structure [2]. Delamination and matrix cracking are the most common failure modes in the composite laminate. The stiffness and strength of the composite can be significantly reduced due to the delamination, lead to a catastrophic failure of the composite structure [3]. Thus, it is important to conduct the impact analysis from the structural safety point of view. Many efforts have been made by researchers to study the influence of impact on the composite structure using analytical, numerical and experimental methods. Tagarielli et al. [4] and Schubel et al. [5] have performed experimental tests to investigate the dynamic responses of composite sandwich structures under transverse low velocity impact. Liu and Liao [6, 7] employed the finite element analysis to investigate the failure mechanism of fiber reinforced composite laminate impacted by a sphere. He et al. [8] used elasto-plasticity theory to study the permanent indentation of the graphite fiber reinforced composite under low-velocity impact. Choi [9] studied the membrane effect and geometrical nonlinearity on the transient responses of cylindrical composite shell under transverse low-velocity impact using finite element method.

Zhang et al. [10] investigated the impact force, matrix cracking and delamination of cross ply composite laminate under low velocity impact using finite element software ABAQUS. Zhang and Zhang [11] studied the delamination of composites induced by a low-velocity impact. Habib et al. [12] examined the influence of impactor shape such as conical and hemispherical on the residual tensile modulus and damage resistance of nonwoven flux/epoxy composite. Puech et al. [13] analyzed the crack propagation and impact force of polypropylene biocomposites subjected to drop-weight impact using finite element method and high speed camera. Soto et al. [14] developed an efficient numerical simulation model to study the failure mode of thin ply composite laminates. Abir et al. [15] proposed a finite element model to investigate the impact damage and compressive strength of composites. Gliśczynski [16] employed both the numerical and experimental methods to investigate the failure of glass fiber reinforced polymer (GFRP) laminate under low velocity impact. Xiao et al. [17] presented an improved analytical model based on Eshelby’s inclusion method to predict the interlaminar shear strength and evaluate the impact damage of composite laminates. Berton et al. [18] developed a multi-scale damage model based on commercial finite element software ABAQUS incorporation with synergistic damage mechanics model to predict damage progression of matrix micro-cracking in carbon fiber reinforced polymer and glass fiber reinforced polymer composite materials subjected to low-velocity impact. Balakrishnan et al. [19] investigated the effects of processing conditions such as compression pressure and heating temperature on the impact response of glass/polyamide-6 (G/PA-6) composite. The damage and residual strength of post impact were examined using micro-CT and three-point bending tests, respectively. Hamamousse et al. [20] performed numerical simulation of impact on the orthogrid epoxy panels.
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In the unloading process, the contact force is expressed according to the modified Hertzian contact law as follow [23]:

**Unloading**

\[
F = F_n \left[\frac{s - s_0}{s_m - s_0}\right]^{3/2} = k' \left[\frac{s - s_0}{s_m - s_0}\right]^{3/2}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \(s\) represents the indentation during the contact. It can be calculated from the displacements of the spherical shell and ball.

\[
F = F_m \left[\frac{s - s_0}{s_m - s_0}\right]^{3/2},
\]

\(k'\) denotes the contact stiffness. For a spherical shell with composite material, the contact stiffness \(k\) is expressed as follow [24]:

\[
k = \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{\left[1 - \nu_b^2\right]} \left[E_b + 1/E_{yy}\right] \left(\frac{r_b}{r_b + r_s}\right)^{1/2},
\]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \(r_b\) and \(r_s\) are the radii of the ball and composite spherical shell, respectively; \(E_b\) and \(\nu_b\) are the elastic modulus and Poisson ratio of the ball, respectively; \(E_{yy}\) is the Young’s modulus of the composite spherical shell along the direction of normal to the fiber.

During the impact, the maximum contact force \(F_m\) occurs at the maximum indentation of \(s_m\). The permanent indentation \(s_0\) in the loading and unloading processes can be written as

\[
s_0 = 0\quad \quad s_m < s_{cr} \quad \quad (7)
\]

\[
s_0 = s_m \left[1 - \left(\frac{s_{cr}}{s_m}\right)^{0.4}\right] \quad \quad s_m \geq s_{cr} \quad \quad (8)
\]

where \(s_{cr}\) denotes the critical indentation which is taken as 0.0083 mm for graphite fiber reinforced composite [25].

Eq. 5 and Eq. 2 can be rewritten as follow by taking the derivative with respect to time twice.

\[
\ddot{s} = \ddot{s} - \ddot{w}_c \quad \quad (9)
\]

\[
\dot{w}_c(t) = \dot{F}(t) \cdot w_{ge}(0) + 2F(t) \cdot \ddot{w}_{ge}(0) + \int_0^t F(t') \cdot \ddot{w}_{ge}(t-t') \, dt' \quad \quad (10)
\]

Substituting Eq. 1, Eq. 3, Eq. 4 and Eq. 10 into Eq. 9, results in the governing equations in terms of the indentation \(s\) for the impact analysis as follows:

**During the loading period**

\[
\ddot{s}(t) + \frac{3}{2} k s^{1/2} \dot{s}(t) w_{ge}(0) + 2 k s^{1/2}(t) w_{ge}(0) + \int_0^t \dot{w}_{ge}(t) \ddot{w}_{ge}(t-t') \, dt' = 0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

**During the unloading period**

\[
\ddot{s}(t) + \frac{2}{5} k [s(t) - s_0]^{1/2} \dot{s}(t) w_{ge}(0) + 2 k [s(t) - s_0]^{1/2} \ddot{w}_{ge}(0) + \int_0^t [s(t') - s_0]^{1/2} \ddot{w}_{ge}(t-t') \, dt' = 0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

In this work, modified Hertzian contact law combined with Runge-Kutta method were employed to evaluate the contact forces during the loading and unloading periods of a composite shell induced by a transverse low-velocity impact. Finite element method was used to determine the impact behavior of the composite structure including the deformation and normal stress by applying the contact force on the impact point of the shell. The impact responses of the composite structure were validated with the analytical, experimental and numerical results reported in the existing literatures. The influences of the impactor mass and velocity and the stacking sequence of the composite laminate on the transient responses of the composite shell were investigated through a series of parametric studies.
The initial conditions for Eq. 11 are \( s(0) = 0 \); \( \dot{s}(0) = v_0 \), where \( v_0 \) denotes the impact velocity.

Runge-Kutta method is employed to solve the governing Eq. 11 and Eq. 12, results in the determination of the indentation \( s \). The contact forces during the loading and unloading periods can be obtained by substituting the indentation into Eq. 3 and Eq. 4, respectively. The displacement at the apex of the composite shell can be calculated through the substitution of the contact force into Eq. 2.

3. IMPACT ANALYSIS OF COMPOSITE LAMINATE STRUCTURE

3.1. Verification

Two examples were presented to illustrate the applicability of the proposed approach by comparing the results with existing literatures.

Example 1: A composite laminate plate impacted by a ball.

The composite laminate used in the test example is graphite composite T300/934. The graphite composite is stacked as [0/-45/45/90]_s. Table 1 presents the composite material properties. The dimensions of the rectangular laminate plate are 76.2 mm in length, 76.2 mm in width and 2.54 mm in width, respectively. The Poisson’s ratio, elastic modulus, density and radius of the impactor are 0.3, 72 GPa, 2800 kgm\(^{-3}\) and 6.35 mm, respectively. ANSYS finite element software was used in this study. The mesh for the finite element analysis is plotted in Fig. 1.

Table 1. Graphite composite properties T300/934 [26]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( E_{xx} )</th>
<th>( E_{yy} )</th>
<th>( G_{xy} )</th>
<th>( v_{xy} )</th>
<th>( v_{yz} )</th>
<th>( \rho )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>145 GPa</td>
<td>10 GPa</td>
<td>5.7 GPa</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1536 kgm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Mesh for the finite element analysis of the laminate plate

The element type of Solid 46 with 8 nodes was used in this study. Total numbers of elements and nodes were 3200 and 4920, respectively. The composite laminate plate is subjected to an impulse loading \( \delta(t) \) at the center. The time dependent of the displacement \( w_{gc}(t) \) at the impact point of the laminate plate obtained by ANSYS is illustrated in Fig. 2. Substituting the displacement \( w_{gc}(t) \) of the composite laminate plate at the impact point and impactor velocity \( v_0 \) into Eq. 11 and Eq. 12, the indentation of the composite plate induced by the impact can be determined using Runge-Kutta method. The contact forces during the loading and unloading periods can be obtained by substituting the indentation \( s \) into Eq. 3 and Eq. 4, respectively. Three different impactor velocities 38.1 m/s, 25.4 m/s and 12.7 m/s were considered in this example. The contact forces for these three different impactor velocities are plotted in Fig. 3. The contact forces were compared with the results reported by Wu and Chang [26]. A good correlation was obtained between the present approach and Wu [26] as shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. 2. Time dependence of the displacement \( w_{gc}(t) \) for the laminate plate under impulse loading

Fig. 3. Contact forces between the laminate plate and ball induced by the impacts of three different velocities

Example 2: An isotropic spherical shell impacted by a ball.

A glass spherical shell impacted by a steel ball was studied in this example as shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4. A glass spherical shell impacted by a steel ball

The periphery of the shell is fixed. The radius and thickness of the spherical shell are 330 mm and 1.9 mm.
respectively. The radius and impact velocity of the ball are 3 mm and 1.3 m/s, respectively. The Young’s modulus, density and Poisson’s ratio of the glass are 69.7 GPa, 2492 kg/m³ and 0.23, respectively. The Young’s modulus, density and Poisson’s ratio of the steel are 206 GPa, 7833 kg/m³ and 0.29, respectively. Koller and Busenhart [21] solved the impact problem analytically using the Reissener’s theory and compared with experimental results. Lee and Kwak [27] studied the impact problem using the finite element method. The impact responses obtained by the present approach were compared with the analytical, experimental and numerical results reported by Koller [21] and Lee [27]. Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 show the time histories of the contact force and vertical displacement at the impact point of the shell, respectively.

![Fig. 5. Contact force of a spherical glass shell impacted by a steel ball](image)

Fig. 5. Contact force of a spherical glass shell impacted by a steel ball

![Fig. 6. Displacement at the impact point of the glass shell](image)

Fig. 6. Displacement at the impact point of the glass shell

It can be observed that present approach exhibits good correlation with the analytical [21], experimental [21] and numerical [27] results in terms of contact force and vertical displacement at the impact point. Next we investigated the influence of different materials of the shell on the contact force. Fig. 7 compares the contact forces exerted on the spherical shells made of steel, aluminum and glass, respectively. The maximum contact forces on the steel, aluminum and glass shells are 149 N, 85.5 N and 80 N, respectively. The contact duration for steel, aluminum and glass shells are 21.8, 33.4 and 35.4 µs, respectively. It depicts that the contact force is increasing with the increase of the stiffness of the shell, while the contact duration is decreasing.

![Fig. 7. Comparison of the contact force on different shells](image)

3.2. Transient responses of the composite laminate spherical shell

A ball impinged on the apex of a composite laminate T300/934 [0/-45/45/90]₂s spherical shell with clamped boundary condition. The dimensions of the composite laminate shell are 2.54 mm in thickness and 330 mm for the radius. The ball is made of aluminum with the radius of 6.35 mm. The mesh for the finite element analysis of the composite laminate shell is plotted in Fig. 8 with 3200 elements and 4920 nodes. The displacement \( w_{gc}(t) \) at the apex of the composite laminate shell under impulse loading \( \delta(t) \) is illustrated in Fig. 9.

![Fig. 8. Mesh for the finite element analysis of the laminate shell](image)

![Fig. 9. Displacement \( w_{gc}(t) \) at the apex of the laminate shell under impulse loading](image)

The influences of the impactor velocity and mass, and stacking sequence of the composite laminate on the impact responses of the composite laminate spherical shell were investigated through a series of parametric studies as follows.
3.2.1. Influence of the impactor velocity

The effect of the impact velocity on the transient responses of the composite laminate shell was investigated. The impact simulations were performed for three different impactor velocities, 38.1 m/s, 25.4 m/s and 12.7 m/s, respectively, while the impactor mass was kept at a constant of 3 g. The procedures to determine the impact force were as follows: (1) substituting the displacement $w_0(t)$ as shown in Fig. 9 and impact velocity $v_0$ into integro-differential Eq. 11 and Eq. 12; (2) utilizing the Runge-Kutta numerical technique to solve the integro-differential equations resulting in the determination of the indentation; (3) substituting the indentation of the composite laminate shell into Eq. 3 and Eq. 4 to calculate the contact force. The contact forces exerted on the composite laminate shell by the impactor with three different velocities are plotted in Fig. 10.

It can be observed that the maximum contact force is linearly increasing with the increase of the impactor velocity as illustrated in Fig. 11.

3.2.2. Influence of the impactor mass

The composite spherical shell was impinged by an aluminum ball with three different masses of 1 g, 2 g and 3 g, respectively. The impactor velocity was kept at a constant of 38.1 m/s. The contact forces induced by the impactor with three different masses are shown in Fig. 13.

The maximum contact forces for impactor masses of 1 g, 2 g and 3 g are 2.71 kN, 3.25 kN and 3.54 kN respectively, and the contact durations are 41.1 $\mu$s, 82.7 $\mu$s and 120.7 $\mu$s, respectively. It appears that the contact duration is significantly affected by the impactor mass while the contact force is less dependent on the impactor mass. Fig. 14 plots the displacement at the contact point of the composite laminate shell. It shows that the displacement is increasing with the increase of the impactor mass.

3.2.3. Influence of the stacking sequence of the composite laminate

Five different stacking sequences for the T300/934 composite laminate were prepared to investigate the influence of the ply layup on the impact response. The five different stacking sequences and associated equivalent material properties of the T300/934 composite laminate are listed in Table 2. It can be seen that the equivalent material properties of the composite shell is varying from highly anisotropic material for $[0]_{16}$ to quasi-isotropic material for $[0_2/\pm 45_2/\pm 45_2/0_2]$. 
The maximum contact forces for the composite shells with stacking sequences of \([0]_{16}\) and \([0/45/–45/0]_2\), are 2957 N and 3545 N, respectively. It can be observed that the contact force is increasing as the equivalent material property of the composite shell is changing from highly anisotropic material to quasi-isotropic material due to the variation of the stacking sequence. The vertical displacement at the impact point of the composite shell exhibits in an opposite trend.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, a modelling for evaluating the elastic responses of a thin composite spherical shell impacted by a ball was presented. The effects of impactor velocity and mass, and stacking sequences of the composite laminate on the impact response were investigated. A non-linear governing equation in terms of the parameter of indentation was derived basing on the equation of motion and Hertzian contact law. The solution of the governing integro-differential equation was obtained using the numerical technique of Runge-Kutta method, leading to the determination of the contact force. The contact force was employed as an external loading exerted on the top of the thin composite spherical shell. Thereafter, the impact responses of the spherical shell such as the stress and displacement are solved using the finite element analysis. Numerical analyses demonstrate that the contact force is significantly affected by the impactor velocity while contact duration is highly dependent on the impactor mass. Impact responses of the composite laminate shell are significantly affected by the stacking sequence. Stacking sequence of \([0/45/–45/0]_2\) with quasi-isotropic material property exhibits the largest impact force while \([0]_{16}\) with highly anisotropic material property has the lowest impact force. Present work incorporated the analytical expression of the governing equation with the well-known numerical technique Runge-Kutta method, make the impact analysis of composite shell simple and easy to follow.
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